G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 6

1915.
(July.)

Serial Nos. 17

Later references.

1-26

Temp 67-43-1-6.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. ~~15 of 16~~ 17 & 18

Part B for Sep. 16.

SUBJECT.

In arrangement of Sri Gangaviswesvara devaru temple at Begavalli in the Hirihakalli Taluk.

To, Dy. Commr. Shimoga. No. 695 A/12-9-16.

Approves of the action of the D.C in directing the collection of the rental by the Amildar from the lessee Thimmanna & directs under certain conditions the continuance of the management of the institu-

W D 1551—GPB—20,000—16-12-15

D-R Approved Por. VII A) - 23-9-16.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Management of Sri Gangaviswesvara Devasthanam Temple at Begunatti in the Nirthadi Taluk.

From

The Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist

No. 434/R.140 Dated 8.5.1916 Received

Diary No. 1940	Enclos. -	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 67

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that on account of the failure to act up to the conditions laid down in the muchalika executed by Timmanna Bhatta, the Temple in question and its endowments may be resumed and Timmanna Bhatta ordered to be dispossessed of the Vahivat of the Temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

No. 687-13 Rs. 13-14) N

1) In para 5 of his letter no. 226/40 dated 29th November 1915, the Dy Commissioner reported that Timmanna Bhatta, Vahivatdar of Sri Gangaviswesvara Devasthanam Temple

NOTES—First Sheet.

G P B—20,100 - -8 8-11

Serial No.

failed to remit Rs 94-14-0 to the Treasury out of the annual rental of Rs 360/- received by him and that he had directed the Amildar to issue a notice to show cause why the management should not be removed from Trimmama Bhatta as he failed to act according to the terms of his Muchalika.

2 The Dy. Commr has submitted his further report, which explains the facts of the case.

3. It is reported that on the notice issued by the Amildar under orders of the Dy. Commr, Trimmama Bhatta stated that the amount of Rs 94-14-0 due from him might if necessary, be deducted from the annual rental of Rs 360/- for 1915-16 due to him from the lessee- the Dy. Commr reports that in accordance with the terms of the Muchalika, it was the duty of Trimmama Bhatta to remit a sum of Rs 94-14-0 for costs in favor of the instn before the end of June 1915, and that although sufficiently long time was allowed to him

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

he paid no heed and has been evading payment - The Dy Commr therefore recommends that the management of the temple may be resumed and Nirmamma Bhatta dispossessed of the Valivarti of the Temple.

4 In para 5 of his letter, the Dy Commr reports that as it was found to be unsafe to allow Nirmamma Bhatta to collect the jeri amount for the current year, he has directed the Amildar to recover the full amount of Rs 2004 from the lessee, to credit it to muz. fund in favor of the temple and to arrange for the regular performance of services in the institution according to the sanctioned scale.

5 Submitted for orders.

NW(R&C) 23.6.1916 ans 6/7/16

6 Approve the Dy Commrs action but say that the monthly charges may be made to Nirmamma Bhatta so long as he does the Puja satisfactorily

Lg 26.7.16

7) Submd. with a draft order.

NW(R&C) 8.8.1916.

*Flag M (7 No. 113-08). Collection in the (illegible) file.

E—Contn. sheet

89/17/8

GPD—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 65 of 191 3

Serial No.

9) The dismissal of the Valuation proposed after Dr Cornish seems to be too drastic and it will simply be giving room for another series of agitation & Minimum Rates. The Course suggested in the draft appears to be sufficient.

Law Member)

2033
26.8.16

Law
21.9.16

Secy

Law
8/9/16

18 No. 695 of 12.9.16, to Govt of Bhavnagar, approving of the Director's action in directing the collection of the rental by the Assessor from the lessee Bhimnarma Bhatia, Direct under certain conditions, the continuance of the management of the Estate in question.

sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

GPB—50,000—17 9|15

DIARY No. 1940

IN 15 Th
SECTION Pol
FILE No. 651-13
SERIAL No. 15

19 15-16.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Chingleput District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue Department,

Dated Tanjore 9th November 1915.

Despatched 16

Received

General No. 4791

No. 6813

Enclosure No.

With reference to my letter No. 2861/D dated the 5th November 1915 on the failure of Rajah Bhimaraya Nayudu to furnish a surety to the extent of Rs. 50,000 required by the Government in favour of Canaveiyan Ammal, widow of Perumal Naidu at Perumalli in the Tiruvallur Taluk, I have the honour to report that the adoption of the female khoodumbi right to the impugned endowments may be recognized and that Bhimaraya Nayudu may be ordered to be discharged of the removal of the trustee.

No. 434/Q.140

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga, 8th May 1916.

From

K. Nalthan, Esquire., B. A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

V.P. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to para 5 of my letter No. 223/40 dated the 20th November 1915 in regard to the failure of Tudur Timmannabhatta to remit a sum of Rs 94-14-0 to the Treasury to be credited to the Muzrai funds in favour of Gangavisveswara Devaru temple at Beravalli, in the Tirthahalli Taluk, I have the honour to state that the Amildar of Tirthahalli Taluk, who was directed to issue a written notice to Timmannabhatta fixing a certain date to appear before him to show cause why the management should not be resumed from ~~him~~ him for his failure to act according to the terms of the mutchalika executed by him, reports in his Ittilla No. 1077 dated the 20th March 1916 that Timmannabhatta, who was required to appear before him on the 20th December 1915 to give his statement, failed to do so and that on the notice issued Timmannabhatta has written to say that as he was ailing he would go to Bangalore on the night of the 19th December 1915 and could not therefore appear before the Amildar on the following day. Timmannabhatta has further stated thereon that the amount of Rs 94-14-0 due from him might, if necessary, be deducted from the annual rental of Rs 230/- for 1914-15 due to him from the Lessee Sanjapnaiva.

2. Previous to this a notice of demand for the said sum was issued by the Amildar to Timmannabhatta which was duly served on him on the

20th June 1915. He has written on the notice that he has put in a review application to Government to set the terms of the mutchalika executed by him altered.

2. The management of the temple was handed over to Timmannabhatta on the 9th December 1914 after obtaining from him a mutchalika in accordance with the conditions laid down by Government, and the stipulated rental of ₹ 360/- for the year 1914-15 was duly paid to him by the lessee. In accordance with the terms of the mutchalika it was his duty to remit a sum of ₹ 90/-11-0 for expenditure in favour of the institution before the end of June 1915. Although sufficient time was allowed to him to ~~draw~~ have done so Timmannabhatta has failed and has been evading payment.

4. Under these circumstances, I have the honour to propose that the management of the temple together with its cash and articles be resumed and that Timmannabhatta may be ordered to deposit the amount of the value of the temple.

5. In this connection it may be added that as it was found necessary to allow Timmannabhatta to collect the 'gani' rents due for the current year, the Amildar was directed to recover the full amount of ₹ 300/- from the lessee anjaparvi. Accordingly the Amildar recovered the stipulated rental of ₹ 300/- and kept the amount in Revenue deposit on the 6th instant. Orders have now been issued to him to credit the full amount to the temple funds in favour of the temple, and to arrange for the regular performance of puja services etc., in the temple in accordance with the scale already sanctioned by Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

G.R.S. 28]

Sri Ganapathi

I Approve of the Dy Commr's action in ordering the cheerdi Dues in connection with the Annual Car-festival from the Revenues of the Inam-lands belonging to Sri Sangameswara Devaru Temple at Belgavalli in Tirthahalli Taluk

Belgavalli Thirthahalli Taluk

File No. 65 I

Serial No 413/16

Diary No. of letter replied to 1960.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 191 .

READ—

Letter no 436/R.140 Dy 16th May 1916 from the Dy. Commr, Shimoga District, reporting that the Managers

Date of issue 12.9.1916.

Mr G. Narasimmaiya

Signed by

ORDER THEREON.—No.

of Sri Sangameswara Devaru Temple at Belgavalli in the Tirthahalli Taluk was handed over to Srinivasa Bhatta on the 8th December 1914;

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

that ~~Thungla~~ Tirumanna Bhatta
~~was~~ bound by his muchalika
to remit to the Treasury being
~~Rs~~ 94-14-0 for credited
in favor of the instn, out of ~~the~~
~~stipulated~~ rental of ~~Rs~~ 360/-
paid to him by the lessee for
the year 1914-15, has failed to
do so ~~though sufficiently long time~~
~~was allowed to him~~ for payment of, and recommending
that the management of the
temple together with its endowments
therefore,
may be resumed and Tirumanna Bhatta
dispossessed of the Vahivat of
the instn, and adding that as it
was found to be unsafe to allow
Tirumanna Bhatta to collect the
Seri amount due for the year
was directed to
1915-16, the Amildar ~~received~~
the ~~stipulated~~ rental from the
lessee, ~~and that he has issued~~
~~to the Mutalik~~ Same
~~orders to collect the said amount~~
~~& deposit~~
~~to Treasury funds in favour of~~
~~the temple~~ and to arrange for the conduct of
worship

worship in accordance with the

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Sanctioned scale.

Order thereon -- Do.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No 695 D 12-9-1916.

mra 651-13-16

The Dy. Commrs. action in directing the correction often reported ~~approved~~ often amildar is approved

2. ~~Monthly charges may be~~ may be made to Thirumanna Bhatta so long as he fees to the puja satisfactorily.

Thirumanna Bhatta has rendered himself liable to be removed from the hereditary office for his failure to comply strictly with the conditions on which the management often temple was handed over to him by the Govt. ~~extended to him~~ consider that he ought to be given another chance before this course is resorted to. The management often temple may accordingly be continued under this manner Bhatta, the monthly charges according to the scale according to his own allowance being drawn and paid to him often amildar on the credit often temple at the end

In genl. Secretary

Do.

Do.

Mrs. Dy. Commrs. Drawings

Drs.

83/1918

3. As regards the amount due to be placed at the Credit of the temple for the year 1914-15, the amount appropriated by Government for this purpose may be made over by adjustment of the Valiabad allowance until the amount is recouped

Sd
25. 8. 15

For Genl Secy

To The Dy Comm.
Shimoga Dt.